

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION**

**MISSED CALL, LLC,
Plaintiff,**

v.

**TWILIO INC.,
Defendant**

Civil Action No. 1:23-cv-01294

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PLAINTIFF’S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Missed Call, LLC (“Missed Call”) files this Original Complaint and demand for jury trial seeking relief from patent infringement of the claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,531,872 (“the ‘872 patent”) (referred to as the “Patent-in-Suit”) by Twilio Inc.. (“Defendant” or “Twilio”).

I. THE PARTIES

1. Missed Call, LLC is a Texas limited liability corporation with its principal place of business located in Austin, Texas.

2. On information and belief, Twilio, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware having a principal place of business at 375 Beale Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California 94105 and a regular and established place of business at 211 East 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, TX, 78701. Defendant can be served through its registered agent, Corporation Service Company d/b/a CSC-Lawyers Incorporating Service Company, 211 East 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701, at its place of business, or anywhere else it may be found.

3. On information and belief, Defendant sells and offers to sell products and services throughout Texas, including in this judicial district, introduces products and services that perform infringing methods or processes into the stream of commerce knowing that they would be sold in

Texas and this judicial district, and otherwise directs infringing activities to this judicial district in connection with its products and services.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This Court has original subject-matter jurisdiction over the entire action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because Plaintiff's claim arises under an Act of Congress relating to patents, namely, 35 U.S.C. § 271.

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because: (i) Defendant is present within or has minimum contacts within the State of Texas and this judicial district; (ii) Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of Texas and in this judicial district; and (iii) Plaintiff's cause of action arises directly from Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the State of Texas and in this judicial district.

6. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(b). Defendant has committed acts of infringement and has a regular and established place of business in this District. Further, venue is proper because Defendant conducts substantial business in this forum, directly or through intermediaries, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Texas and this District.

III. INFRINGEMENT

A. Infringement of the '872 Patent

7. On December 27, 2016, U.S. Patent No. 9,531,872 ("the '872 patent", included as an attachment) entitled "Communication Apparatus For Providing And Indication About A Missed

Call, And Method Thereof” was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Plaintiff owns the ‘872 patent by assignment.

8. The ‘872 patent relates to novel and improved systems, methods, and apparatuses for providing an indication about a missed telephone call.

9. Defendant maintains, operates, and administers systems, products, and services that facilitate providing of an indication of a missed telephone call that infringe one or more of claims 1-13 of the ‘872 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendant put the inventions claimed by the ‘872 patent into service (i.e., used them); but for Defendant’s actions, the claimed-inventions embodiments involving Defendant’s products and services would never have been put into service. Defendant’s acts complained of herein caused those claimed-invention embodiments as a whole to perform, and Defendant’s procurement of monetary and commercial benefit from it.

10. Support for the allegations of infringement may be found in the following exemplary table included as Exhibit A. These allegations of infringement are preliminary and are therefore subject to change.

11. Defendant has and continues to induce infringement. Defendant has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its systems, products and services (e.g., facilitating providing an indication of a missed telephone call) such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1-13 of the ‘872 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Moreover, Defendant has known of the ‘872 patent and the technology underlying it from at least the filing date of the lawsuit.¹ For clarity, direct infringement is previously alleged in this complaint.

¹ Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

12. Defendant has and continues to contributorily infringe. Defendant has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continue to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., facilitating providing an indication of a missed telephone call) and related services such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1-13 of the ‘872 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Further, there are no substantial noninfringing uses for Defendant’s products and services. Moreover, Defendant has known of the ‘872 patent and the technology underlying it from at least the filing date of the lawsuit.² For clarity, direct infringement is previously alleged in this complaint.

13. Defendant has caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff damage by direct and indirect infringement of (including inducing infringement of) the claims of the ‘872 patent.

IV. JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on issues so triable by right.

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as follows:

- a. enter judgment that Defendant has infringed the claims of the ‘872 patent;
- b. award Plaintiff damages in an amount sufficient to compensate it for Defendant’s infringement, in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty or lost profits, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- c. award Plaintiff an accounting for acts of infringement not presented at trial and an award by the Court of additional damage for any such acts of infringement;
- d. declare this case to be “exceptional” under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award Plaintiff its attorneys’ fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action;

² Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

- e. declare Defendant's infringement to be willful and treble the damages, including attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action and an increase in the damage award pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- f. a decree addressing future infringement that either (i) awards a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant and its agents, servants, employees, affiliates, divisions, and subsidiaries, and those in association with Defendant from infringing the claims of the Patents-in-Suit, or (ii) awards damages for future infringement in lieu of an injunction in an amount consistent with the fact that for future infringement the Defendants will be an adjudicated infringer of a valid patent, and trebles that amount in view of the fact that the future infringement will be willful as a matter of law; and,
- g. award Plaintiff such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DATED: October 24, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

Ramey LLP

By: /s/ William P. Ramey, III
William P. Ramey, III
Texas Bar No. 24027643
5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800
Houston, Texas 77006
(713) 426-3923 (telephone)
(832) 900-4941 (fax)
wramey@rameyfirm.com

Attorneys for Missed Call, LLC